

KULABRANDS, INC

BUSINESS PLAN SCANNER + COMPANY PROFILE

Contacts

Company full name: **kulaBrands, Inc**
Contact email: **peter@kulabrands.com**

Valuation set on: **01.08.2017**
Report date: **08.06.2017**

The idea



Scalable business
Demand validated
Internationalization planned or active
Patent pending

The Team



Team committed full time
Business background with managerial experience
Technical background with expertise
Previous company experience with successful exit(s)

The Company



Legal entity
Advisory board in place
Expansion stage

Marketing



Informal agreements with key partners
Distribution channels active

Finance



Post-revenues company
No exit strategy considered

Scan business plan in a glance

The Business Plan Scanner displays the main features of the company project in the form of icons. The color at the top of the icon indicates the impact of that specific feature on the overall quality of the company. Green stands for positive impact while red for negative. The purpose of this page is to give the reader an immediate overview of the company quality and to make different projects comparable with each other based upon the same criteria. The parameters analyzed are those identified as of the highest importance to angel investors according to the researches over historical company investing activity.

COMPANY PROFILE

kulaBrands, Inc

Legally constituted: **Yes**
Year of constitution: **2016**
Country: **United States**
Scalability: **Yes**
Industry: **Internet**

Committed resources

Capital: **\$ 25,000**
Time (Months): **14**
Time commitment: **Full time**

Stage of development:

Stage of development: **Expansion stage**
Product roll-out: **Minimum Viable Product**
Profitability: **Revenues, negative profits**

Investment proposal

Capital needed: **\$ 1,070,000**
Equity offered: **12.5%**

Core Business

Patent pending business model: Web based platform operating in the home-based business space. We facilitate a collaborative relationship between inventors and people looking to earn residual income.

Team

Founders: **4**
FTE employees: **12**
Management team composition: **Only founders**

Experience

In the industry(years): **100**
Previous company: **Yes, successful exit(s)**

Dedication to the project

Founders: **Majority committed full time**
Staff members: **Majority full-time**

Skills and capabilities

Business and managerial: **Top-tier management experience**
Position reached: **CEO**
Technical: **Both technical background and expertise**


Competition

Target market: **Estimated from related markets**
Level of competition: **Negligible competition**
Competitive products are: **Excellent and substantially less innovative**
Marketing approach: **Word of mouth and social media and Not applicable since B2B focus**

COMPANY PROFILE

Shareholders

Letters of intent



Annual Recurring Revenues (ARR) as % of Turnover

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
ARR as % of Turnover	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Key figures

Latest operating performance

Revenues	\$ 520,200
Cost of Goods Sold (COGS)	\$ 320,670
Operating expenses (SGA)	\$ 122,200
EBITDA	\$ 77,330
EBIT	\$ 77,330
Net Profit	\$ 77,330

Ratios

Profit as % of revenues	14.9%
COGS as % of revenues	61.6%
EBITDA as % of revenues	14.9%

Assets

Cash and Equivalents	\$ 11,357
Accounts Receivables	\$ 10,340
Inventory	\$ 12,000
Tangible assets	\$ 21,200
Intangible assets	\$ 122,732
Financial assets	\$ 0

Liabilities


Accounts Payables	\$ 3,500
Other current liabilities	\$ 65,000
Long term liabilities (Debt)	\$ 128,000
Equity	\$ 0

Elevator pitch

Kulabrands web platform facilitates collaboration between inventors and kula community to launch products into off and online markets world wide. Enabling community members to earn residual income.

VALUATION

The 5 Methods Used



Valuation Average Weights

Weights of the 5 methods



Valuation weights

The weights displayed in the chart are those used to average the outcomes of the 5 valuation methodologies implemented in this analysis. The weights are set according to the stage of development of the start-up: the later the stage and the higher the influence of analytical models given the higher reliability of the financial projections. Users may however prefer one method over another in determining their valuation estimate.

VALUATION

The Average Pre-Money valuation is:

\$ 34,681,568

Lower Bound


\$ 24,035,000

Higher Bound

\$ 45,328,000

This determines a percentage of


Accessed by
from 34.203.213.116:37204




HIGH-LEVEL VALUATION

SCORECARD METHOD

\$ 1,642,520



CRITERIA



ASSUMPTIONS

Starting value of this method (Average Company Valuation) \$ 1,194,560


Explanation

This valuation approach is based on the technique of benchmarking. Starting from the average valuation of comparable transactions, the value can increase or decrease according to analysis of those aspects that matter the most to investors. This model applies the same approach and structure theorized by the researches carried out by the Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation in 2007 as well as by Bill Payne in its book "The Definitive Guide to Raising Money from Angels" (2006). Equidam created the questions and answers and, more importantly, the scores be applied. In addition, some elements were added to the model following the empirical researches carried out by the Equidam team.

HIGH-LEVEL VALUATION

CHECK-LIST METHOD

\$ 1,935,000



CRITERIA

- Quality of the Management Team
- Quality of the Idea
- Product Roll-Out and Protection
- Strategic Relationships
- Operating Stage



ASSUMPTIONS

Maximum value for this method \$ 2,500,000


Explanation

This valuation model is based on a rating approach: a higher value is generated according to the presence or not of key value-drivers. These factors are included in the five categories reported above. This method was originally proposed by Dave Berkus, a full-time Angel and founder of Berkus Technology Ventures LLC in Los Angeles. It has been modified several times since then. This version is based upon the empirical researches carried out by the Equidam team. For European companies, the maximum valuation attainable is 2 MLN, while for U.S. companies this value is \$ 2.5 MLN as indicated by Dave Berkus and in line with the historical analysis by Bill Payne of average company valuation across the U.S.. The lower value for EU market is given by the lower level of development and sophistication of this region compared to the U.S..


FINANCIAL FORECASTS

Revenues		
Year 1	Year 2	Year 3

Best estimate
 The stage of development of the company allows for a reliable estimation of the expected performance. The projections are based on the analysis of the track-record.




EBIT



The uncertainty featuring financial projections

The projections about the expected performance of the company are provided by the users and are not, by any means, subject to the previous check by Equidam. The users are required to indicate the projected values regarding revenues and costs (fixed and variables), while other items as Working Capital, Depreciation and Amortization and the interest expenses are estimated by Equidam according to industry-specific databases. The Cash Flows are also estimated by the Equidam algorithm combining users' inputs and the Equidam data. Equidam provides an indication of the level of reliability of the users' projections based upon the stage of development of the business. Another way to check the reliability of the projections is offered by the revenues from contracts in place, displayed in the second page of the Company Profile.

Cash Flow to Equity for the next three years



CRITERIA

Exit value in year 3

\$ 263,636,234


ASSUMPTIONS

Annual discount rate applied

60.04%

The quick approach used by Venture Capital Funds

The venture capital method is a quick approach to the valuation of companies. It comprises in estimating the exit value of the company at the end of the forecast horizon and ignoring the intermediate cash flows. The exit value is calculated by taking the final financial result of the company and applying the earning multiple (Price/Earnings ratio). This value is then discounted at a high rate to get the present value. The discount rate is determined according to the stage of development and is reported in the table above. Given its simplistic approach, this model does not apply illiquidity or survival discount as the following to methods do. The annual discount rate applied already accounts for these issues.



CRITERIA

EBITDA Year 3	\$ 15,394,929
Long Term Growth Winsorized*	0.5%
Value of the company in the last Year (Terminal Value)	\$ 61,808,581


*Winsorization is a statistic approach that eliminates the outliers from a dataset by applying a lower and higher bound. In this case is necessary since some of the industries in the Equidam database have a negative historical growth.

ASSUMPTIONS

Industry Beta	1.55
Market Risk Premium	5.5%
Weighted Average Cost of Capital	10.18%

The innovative approach to Discounted Cash Flows (DCF)

The DCF with terminal growth model is one of the most used models to value public companies. This method assumes that the company is going to survive at a steady and constant growth rate. The growth rate applied is based on the industry of belonging. The Equidam methodology however applies two important additional features: 1. The annual cash flows are estimated by the Equidam algorithm and are weighted according to the country-specific survival rate for companies. 2. The calculated present value is further discounted by applying an illiquidity discount to account for the fact that the sale of the equity stake is likely to happen on the private market. As a consequence, investors need a higher return. The illiquidity discount is estimated according to the work of academic researches.



CRITERIA

EBITDA Year 3	\$ 15,394,929
EBITDA multiple Year 3	17.12
Value of the company in the last Year (Terminal Value)	\$ 171,508,787
Implied Annual Return if sale value realized (ROI)	117.08%

ASSUMPTIONS


Industry Beta	1.55
Market Risk Premium	5.5%
Weighted Average Cost of Capital	10.18%

Industry peers' comparison

The DCF with exit multiple is the other most used valuation approach. It is based on the assumption that the exit value of the company is determined by the average of industry peers. The most commonly used multiple is the Price/Earnings (P/E) since the generated estimate is less susceptible to differences in the operating margin among industry peers. The Equidam methodology also applies: 1. The annual cash flows are estimated by the Equidam algorithm and are weighted according to the country-specific survival rate for companies. 2. The calculated present value is further discounted by applying an illiquidity discount to account for the fact that the sale of the equity stake is likely to happen on the private market. As a consequence, investors need a higher return. The illiquidity discount is estimated according to the work of academic researches

PROFIT AND LOSS

CASH FLOW







	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
 Revenues sales quantity	\$ 2,510,646 0	\$ 11,874,390 0	\$ 30,655,375 0
 Cost of goods sold Average individual price Average individual cost Gross margin	\$ 1,054,306 \$ 0 \$ 0 58%	\$ 4,702,659 \$ 0 \$ 0 60.4%	\$ 9,710,446 \$ 0 \$ 0 68.3%
 Selling, General and Administrative	\$ 51,300	\$ 2,258,200	\$ 5,550,000
EBITDA	\$ 1,405,040	\$ 4,913,531	\$ 15,394,929
 Depreciation and Amortization as % of revenues	\$ 0 0%	\$ 0 0%	\$ 0 0%
EBIT	\$ 1,405,040	\$ 4,913,531	\$ 15,394,929
 Financial Gain-Loss Debt interest payment Interest rate on debt Cash interest gain	\$ 0 \$ 0 13.65% \$ 0	\$ 0 \$ 0 13.65% \$ 0	\$ 0 \$ 0 13.65% \$ 0
 Taxes Deferred tax assets Effective tax payable Nominal tax rate	\$ 477,714 \$ 0 \$ 477,714 39%	\$ 1,670,601 \$ 0 \$ 1,670,601 39%	\$ 5,300,073 \$ 0 \$ 5,300,073 39%
 Profit	\$ 927,326	\$ 3,242,930	\$ 10,094,856

Good understanding of numbers is good understanding of business

Revenues, Cost of Goods Sold and Selling, General and Administrative are provided by the user, while the D and A and Financial expenses are estimated by Equidam. The former is based upon the average D and A as % of Revenues of the industry peers and the latter upon the COVERAGE RATIO (EBIT/INTEREST PAID) and then adding the related risk premium to the Risk Free rate. The risk premia related to the COVERAGE RATIO are fixed as determined by academic researches. The Risk Free rate is assumed to equal the 10-year maturity German Bund. The tax outlays are determined by applying the country-specific tax rate for companies, as reported in the Equidam databases.

PROFIT AND LOSS

CASH FLOW

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
 Profit	\$ 927,326	\$ 3,242,930	\$ 10,094,856
 Change in Working Capital	\$ 106,692	\$ 468,187	\$ 939,049
Account payables	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Account receivables	\$ 125,532	\$ 593,720	\$ 1,532,769
Inventory	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
 Depreciation and Amortization	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
OPERATING CASH FLOW	\$ 820,634	\$ 2,774,743	\$ 9,155,807
 Financing activity	\$ -128,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
Change in outstanding debt	\$ -128,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
Raise or repayment of equity	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
 Investments	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
 Cash Flows	\$ 692,634	\$ 2,774,743	\$ 9,155,807
Beginning of the year cash	\$ 1,081,357	\$ 1,773,991	\$ 4,548,734
End of the year cash	\$ 1,773,991	\$ 4,548,734	\$ 13,704,541
CASH FLOW TO EQUITY	\$ 820,634	\$ 2,774,743	\$ 9,155,807

Cash is the king

The cash flows are estimated by Equidam starting from the user's data. This is to provide the reader with a more reliable estimation based upon industry peers benchmarks rather than leaving the user the freedom to guess the estimates.

Both Depreciation and Amortization and Working Capital are based on the Equidam industry databases, while the change in Debt and in Equity and the investment outlays are based upon the user's projections.

DESCRIPTION SCORECARD METHOD

The Strength of the Entrepreneur and of the Management Team includes:

- The years of industry experience of the managers
- The managerial and business skills achieved by the managers academically and professionally
- The technical skills and capabilities achieved by the managers academically and professionally
- The level of managerial responsibility achieved in the past working experiences
- The team spirit and comradeship
- The size of the staff
- The time and economic commitment by the founders and any other member of the staff

The Size the Opportunity includes:

- The estimated potential size of the market (if provided)
- The estimated revenues in the third year according to the stage of the development (if provided)
- The geographical scope of the business
- The scalability of the business

The Competitive Environment includes:

- The analysis of the number of active market players
- The quality of competitive products/services
- The competitive advantage over competitive products/services
- The threat of international competition (if any)

The Intellectual Property includes:

- The presence of IP
- The type of IP protection applicable
- The IP protection in place (if any)
- Barriers to entry determined by IP-related aspects

The Strategic Relations to Reach the Targeted Market includes:

- The partnership with vendors and other selling channels
- The partnership with strategic market agent to achieve the commercialization

The Stage of the Development of the Product/Service includes:

- The roll-out of the product/service

The Funding Required includes:

- The capital need required according to stage of development. Later-stage businesses raising limited budgets are showing higher quality compared to similar companies seeking larger amounts.

DESCRIPTION CHECK-LIST METHOD

The Quality of the Management Team analyzes:

- The managerial and business skills achieved by the managers academically and professionally
- The technical skills and capabilities achieved by the managers academically and professionally
- The level of managerial responsibility achieved in the past working experiences
- The positive interaction of the previous features to the overall company success
- The team historical relationship (if any)
- The years of industry experience of the managers
- The average age of the founders: entrepreneurs of 35-45 are statically the most likely to succeed
- The presence in the team of serial, successful entrepreneurs

In addition, the score of this section is weighted by the time commitment of the founders and managers. A full-time commitment determines a 100% weight and so on. This is necessary in order to reflect the relative waste of skills due to reduced working hours dedicated to the business and the related opportunity cost.

Product Roll-Out and IP Protection

- The presence of IP and the stage of the protections in place
- The type of IP protection applicable
- The positive interaction of the previous features to the overall company success
- The roll-out of the product/service

Strategic Relationships

- The presence of external investors among the shareholders
- The presence of the advisory board and their number
- The partnership with vendors and other selling channels
- The partnership with strategic market agents to achieve the commercialization
- The partnership with legal counselors

Operating Stage

- The stage of development of the business: revenues/pre-revenues/profitable etc.

Important legal notes

Equidam BV does not represent or endorse the accuracy or reliability of any advice, opinion, statement or any other information displayed or distributed through this report or its website. The estimates and the data contained herein are made using the information provided by the user, publicly available information and data for different industries. Equidam BV has not audited or attempted to confirm this information for accuracy or completeness. Under no circumstances the present report is to be used or considered as an offer, solicitation, or recommendation to sell, or a solicitation of any offer to buy any security. Equidam BV excludes any warranties and responsibilities concerning the results to be obtained from the present report nor their use and shall not be liable for any claims, losses or damages arising from or occasioned by any inaccuracy, error, delay, or omission, or from use of the report or actions taken in reliance on the information contained in it. The use of this report and the information provided herein is subject to Equidam BV online Terms of Use and Privacy Policy.

Accessed by
from 34.203.213.116:37204