


Accessed by
from 34.231.180.48:57666

SUPREME FOODS™ FRANCHISING

Valuation Report of Supreme Foods Franchising Inc.

As of 2021-01-01

Accessed by
from 34.231.180.48:57666

 Report generated on 7 Apr, 2021

Contacts:
Vincent Petrescu
✉ vp@trucrowd.com

Table of Contents

Company summary	3
Forecasts summary	4
Current ownership	5
Valuation	6
Qualitative methods	
Scorecard Method	7
Checklist Method	8
Qualitative traits summary	9
VC method	10
DCF Methods	
DCF with LTG	11
DCF with Multiples	12
Financial Projections	13
Conclusion	15
Appendix	16

Accessed by
from 34.231.180.48:57666

Accessed by
from 34.231.180.48:57666

Company summary

Supreme Foods Franchising...

🌐 United States

Industry: **Food Processing**
Business Activity: **Other Food Processing**

Founders: **1**
Employees: **3**
Started in: **2020**
Incorporated: **Yes**
Year of incorporation: **2020**
Founders' committed capital:
\$100000



Opportunity

Business model: **B2B**
Scalable Product: **Yes**
Exit strategy: **Big market-players demonstrated strong interest in buying the company**



Current Operations

Stage of development: **Development stage**
Employees (excluding founders, interns and freelancers): **3**
Profitability: **Not breakeven yet**



Latest operating performance

01/2020 - 12/2020


Revenues	-
EBITDA	-
Ebitda margin	-
EBIT	-
Ebit margin	-
Cash in hand	-

All numbers in \$


/// More information on the history, milestones, team, etc., (e.g. pitchdeck) can be requested to the company.

Forecasts summary

Future profitability



Cash forecast



/// Full profit and loss and cash flow forecast at page 14.

Past funding rounds

Here is an overview of the past funding rounds and valuations of the company.

No funding rounds to date

Current ownership

Here is an overview of the current shareholders in the company. More information on type of shares, unassigned shares, and in general a detailed cap table can be requested to the company in question.

100% Founder #1




Valuation

The pre-money valuation displayed below is the result of the weighted average of different methods. The use of several methods is a best practice in company valuation, as looking at the business from different perspectives results in a more comprehensive and reliable view.

These methods are compliant with IPEV (International Private Equity Valuation) Guidelines and each of them will be explained in more detail in the following pages of the report.

More information on the weights can be found in the Appendix.




Qualitative methods

Scorecard Method: \$ 6,514,044

This method was conceived by William H. Payne of Ohio TechAngels group and endorsed by the Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation. The valuation of the startup depends on how different this is from the assumed average of a set of comparable companies from the same region.

Startups' qualitative traits are divided in 6 criteria, compared with the assumed traits of the average company, and given a score according to whether it over- or under-performs the assumed average company. These scores are multiplied by weights that represent the impact of the criteria on the valuation. The sum of these weighted scores multiplied by the average valuation leads to the company's pre-money valuation.

Normalized scores of the company for each criteria



Parameters

Average valuation (United States): \$ 3,918,222

Weights of the criteria

Strength of the team: 30%

Size of the Opportunity: 25%

Strength and protection of the product/service: 15%

Competitive Environment: 10%

Strategic relationships with partners: 10%


Funding required: 10%

/// Please see appendix for data sources, defaults, and breakdown of the traits

Checklist Method: \$ 4,089,778

The creator of the method is Dave Berkus, one of the most prominent Californian angel investors. The valuation of the startup consists of intangible building blocks that sum up to the assumed maximum pre-money valuation.

The maximum pre-money valuation is split in 5 criteria according to their weight. The startup obtains portions of these maximum criteria valuations according to how close its qualitative traits are to the most desirable ones. Their sum is the startup pre-money valuation.



Parameters

Maximum valuation (United States): \$ 8,000,000

Criteria maximum valuations

Quality of the core team: \$ 2,400,000 (30%)

Strategic Relationships: \$ 1,200,000 (15%)

Quality of the Idea: \$ 1,600,000 (20%)

Operating Stage: \$ 1,600,000 (20%)

Product roll-out and IP protection: \$ 1,200,000 (15%)

/// Please see appendix for data sources, defaults, and breakdown of the traits

Qualitative traits summary

Below a summary of the traits at the basis of the scores for the two qualitative methods. Please see appendix for detailed breakdown of which trait is used in which method.



Team

Founders

Time commitment: **Full time**

Average age: **More than 45**

Founded other companies before: **Yes, with successful exit(s)**

Core team skills and expertise

Working together for: **More than 5 years**

Years of experience in the industry: **100**

Business and managerial background: **Top-tier management experience**

Technical skills: **All technical skills inhouse**



Network

Board of advisors: **Yes**

Legal consultants: **Yes**

Current shareholders: **Crowdfunding**



Market

Total Addressable Market (TAM): **\$ 350,000,000,000**

Annual growth rate of the market: **5.10 %**

Demand validated: **Yes**

Internationalization: **Local focus now, international expansion planned**



Product

Product roll-out: **Prototype**

Feedback received: **Mainly negative**

Loyalty to the product/service: **High retention**

Partners: **Contracts with key strategic partners signed**



Competition

Level of competition: **Dominated by several players**

Competitive products are: **Excellent**

Differentiation from current solutions: **Not comparable solutions**

International competition: **Growing, but not yet as developed as locally**



Protection

Barriers to entry of the market: **Modest**

Applicable IP: **Trademark and/or domain names**


Current IP protection: **IP protection secured at regional level**

VC Method

Premoney Valuation: \$ 10,878,528

The VC (Venture Capital) method is one of most common approaches among financial practitioners in the private company market. The startup is given the valuation that will grant investors a predetermined return at the exit.

The potential exit value of the company is computed with an industry-based EBITDA multiple. The valuation is equal to this value discounted by a required ROI (Return On Investment). This depends on the startup's stage of development, higher for early stage riskier companies, lower for more mature ones. It is the minimum rate that will allow investors to have positive returns from portfolios where most companies fail and gains come from a selected few.



Parameters

Industry Multiple: **13.72**
 Annual Required ROI: **111.47 %**

/// Please see appendix for data sources and defaults

DCF Methods


The DCF (Discounted Cash Flow) methods represent the most renowned approach to company valuation, recommended by academics and a daily tool for financial analysts. The valuation is the present value of all the free cash flows to equity the startup is going to generate in the future, discounted by its risk.

These methods weight the projected free cash flow to equity by the probability the startup will survive. Then, the flows are discounted to present by a rate that represents risks related to industry, size, development stage and profitability. Lastly, an illiquidity discount is applied to the sum of the discounted cash flows to compute the valuation.

The value of cash flows beyond the projected ones is represented by the TV (Terminal Value) and the way it is calculated is the difference between the following two methods.

DCF with LTG: \$ 21,276,218

The DCF with LTG (Long Term Growth) assumes the cash flows beyond the projected ones will grow forever at a constant rate based on the industry and computes the TV accordingly.



Parameters

Long term growth: **2.50 %**
 Illiquidity discount: **24.33 %**

Discount rate

Risk free rate: **1.82 %**
 Beta: **1.90**
 Market Risk Premium: **5.20 %**

Survival rates


Year 1: **79.60 %**
 Year 2: **68.80 %**
 Year 3: **61.30 %**

/// Please see appendix for data sources and defaults

DCF with Multiples: \$ 36,904,976

The DCF with Multiple assumes the TV (Terminal Value) is equal to the exit value of the company computed with an industry-based EBITDA multiple.

■ Free cash flow to equity ● EBITDA



Parameters

EBITDA multiple: 13.72
Illiquidity discount: 24.33 %

Discount rate
Risk free rate: 1.82 %
Beta: 1.90
Market Risk Premium: 5.20 %

Survival rates
Year 1: 79.60 %
Year 2: 68.80 %
Year 3: 61.30 %

/// Please see appendix for data sources and defaults

Financial Projections

Profit & Loss

The profit & loss projections are displayed below. Data about revenues and operating costs are provided by the company. Depreciation and amortization, interest, and taxes are either provided by the company or estimated by Equidam. Please consult our methodology document for more details.

	01-2020 - 12-2020	01-2021 - 12-2021	01-2022 - 12-2022	01-2023 - 12-2023
Revenues	-	1,750,000	7,500,000 +4X	12,500,000 +67%
Cost of Goods Sold	-	1,400,000	3,600,000 +3X	5,000,000 +39%
Salaries	-	-	-	-
Operating Expenses	-	-	-	-
EBITDA	-	350,000	3,900,000 +11X	7,500,000 +92%
Ebitda margin	-	20 %	52 %	60 %
D&A	-	70,051	300,218 +4X	500,364 +67%
EBIT	-	279,949	3,599,782 +13X	6,999,636 +94%
Ebit margin	-	15 %	47 %	55 %
Interest	-	-	-	-
EBT	-	279,949	3,599,782 +13X	6,999,636 +94%
Taxes	-	75,586	971,941 +13X	1,889,902 +94%
Nominal tax rate	-	27 %	27 %	27 %
Effective tax payable	-	75,586	971,941	1,889,902
Deferred tax assets	-	-	-	-
Net profit	-	204,362	2,627,840 +13X	5,109,734 +94%
Net profit margin	-	11 %	35 %	40 %

All numbers in \$

Cash Flow

The cash flow projections are displayed below. Capital expenditure, debt at the end of the year, and equity fundraising are provided by the company. Account payables, account receivables, inventory and D&A are either provided by the company or estimated by Equidam based on the average percentage of revenues for public companies in the company's industry.

	01/2020 - 12/2020	01/2021 - 12/2021	01/2022 - 12/2022	01/2023 - 12/2023
Net profit	-	204,362	2,627,840 +13X	5,109,734 +94%
Change in Working Capital	-	210,910	692,989	602,599
Working capital	-	210,910	903,899 +4X	1,506,498 +67%
Account Payables	-	180,382	773,066	1,288,444
Account Receivables	-	166,101	711,863	1,186,438
Inventory	-	225,190	965,102	1,608,503
D&A	-	70,051	300,218 +4X	500,364 +67%
Capital expenditures	-	-	-	-
Change in outstanding debt	-	-	-	-
Debt at the end of the year	-	-	-	-
Free cash flow to equity	-	63,504	2,235,070 +35X	5,007,499 +2X
Equity fundraising	-	-	-	-
Free cash flow	-	63,504	2,235,070 +35X	5,007,499 +2X
Beginning of the year cash	-	-	63,504	2,298,574 +36X
End of the year cash	-	63,504	2,298,574	7,306,073

All numbers in \$

Conclusion

Legal Notes

Equidam Valuation SL does not represent or endorse the accuracy or reliability of any advice, opinion, statement or any other information displayed or distributed through this report or its website. The estimates and the data contained herein are made using the information provided by the user, publicly available information and data for different industries. Equidam Valuation SL has not audited or attempted to confirm this information for accuracy or completeness.

Under no circumstances the present report is to be used or considered as an offer, solicitation, or recommendation to sell, or a solicitation of any offer to buy any security. Equidam Valuation SL excludes any warranties and responsibilities concerning the results to be obtained from the present report nor their use and shall not be liable for any claims, losses or damages arising from or occasioned by any inaccuracy, error, delay, or omission, or from use of the report or actions taken in reliance on the information contained in it. The use of this report and the information provided herein is subject to Equidam Valuation SL online **Terms of Use** [<https://www.equidam.com/term-of-use/> [<https://www.equidam.com/term-of-use/>]] and **Privacy Policy** [<https://www.equidam.com/privacy-policy/> [<https://www.equidam.com/privacy-policy/>]].

Accessed by
from 34.231.180.48:57666

Appendix

Weights of the methods

The default weight of each method is determined by Equidam based on the stage of development, and they are shown below. They can be manually adjusted by the company.

Default weights of the 5 methods

Stage of development	Checklist Method	Scorecard Method	VC Method	DCF with LTG	DCF with Multiples
Idea stage	38%	38%	16%	4%	4%
Development stage	30%	30%	16%	12%	12%
Startup stage	15%	15%	16%	27%	27%
Expansion stage	6%	6%	16%	36%	36%

Supreme Foods... stage of development: **Development stage**

These are determined according to the following principles:

- Qualitative information is more important in early stage companies, where performance uncertainty is extremely high, so qualitative methods are weighted in more
- The investors' view is equally important across all stages, so the weight of the VC method does not change
- Quantitative information is more reliable in later stages, when a company already has a proven financial track record. Therefore, it is possible to use the DCF methods more extensively as projected results get founded in past performance

Accessed by
from 34.231.180.48:57666

Qualitative methods

Default average and maximum valuations data sources

Dataset: Pre-money market valuations from transactions in the last 30 months of company in all industries, all countries, and at seed funding stage

Datasource: Crunchbase

Usage: Computation of average and maximum (net of outliers) pre-money valuations in given geographic areas for the qualitative methods (Scorecard and Checklist respectively)

Update: Biannual

Average valuation (Scorecard Method) in United States: **\$ 3,918,222**

Maximum valuation (Checklist Method) in United States: **\$ 8,000,000**

Scorecard Method

Default weights of the criteria and breakdown in their traits

Strength of the team 30% Time commitment of the founders Number of employees Team spirit and comradeship Years of industry experience of the core team Business and managerial background of the core team	Size of the Opportunity 25% Estimated revenues in the third year according to the stage of the development Estimated size of the market in three years Geographical scope of the business
Competitive Environment 10% Stage of the product/service roll-out Degree of loyalty of customers Type of IP protection applicable IP protection in place (if any)	Strength and protection of the product/service 15% Level of competition in the market Quality of competitive products/services Competitive advantage over other products/services Barriers to entry of the market Threat of international competition
Strategic relationships with partners 10% Strength of the relationships with key strategic partners	Funding required 10% Capital required according to the stage of development

Checklist Method

Default weights of the criteria and breakdown in their traits

Quality of the core team analyzes:

30%

Average age of the founders
 Presence in the team of serial, successful entrepreneurs
 Time commitment of the founders
 Team spirit and comradeship
 Years of industry experience of the core team
 Business and managerial background of the core team
 Technical skills of the core team

Quality of the idea analyzes:

20%

Validation of the demand for the product/service
 Feedback received by early adopters/industry experts
 Level of competition in the market
 Competitive advantage over other products/services
 Geographical scope of the business
 Threat of international competition
 Degree of loyalty of customers

Product roll-out and IP protection analyzes:

15%

Stage of the product/service roll-out
 Type of IP protection applicable
 IP protection in place (if any)

Strategic relationships analyzes:

15%

Presence of an advisory board and number of advisors
 Presence and type of current shareholders
 Relationship with legal counselors
 Strength of the relationships with key strategic partners

Operating stage

20%

Stage of development
 Current profitability

VC method

Below the sources of the valuation parameters used in the VC Method: EBITDA Multiple and Annual Required ROI, and their default values provided by Equidam

EBITDA multiple

Description: Enterprise value on EBITDA multiples computed over a dataset of global, publicly listed firms organized by industry

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Business

Update: Annual

Notes: We favor the use of EBITDA multiple, as we believe revenue multiples fail to capture the ability of startups to generate cash flow, i.e. the ultimate determinant of value.

Supreme Foods... industry: **Other Food Processing**

Other Food Processing EBITDA multiple: **13.72**

Annual Required ROI

The default annual required ROI rates are determined by Equidam based on the returns investors require for companies at different stage of development, and are shown below. They can be manually adjusted by the company.

Supreme Foods... stage of development: **Development stage**

DCF Methods

Below the sources of the valuation parameters used in the DCF Methods: Discount Rate, Survival Rates and Illiquidity Discounts, and their default values provided by Equidam.

Discount rate

Risk Free Rate

Description: 10Y government rates

Datasource: Trading Economics (tradingeconomics.com), various public databases

Update: Bi-annual (but more frequent if macroeconomic conditions are more volatile)

Notes: For the Eurozone we apply the German 10Y Bond rate

Supreme Foods... country: **United States**

United States risk free rate: **1.82%**

Industry betas

Description: Industry beta computed over industry specific portfolios of global, public listed companies (same as in EBITDA multiple)

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Business

Update: Annual

Supreme Foods... industry: **Other Food Processing**

Other Food Processing default beta: **1.90**

Market Risk Premium

Description: Country based total equity risk premium as implied in the previous 12 trailing months.

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Business

Update: Biannual

Supreme Foods... country: **United States**

United States default market risk premium: **5.20%**

Survival Rate

Dataset: Country-level survival probabilities of the latest cohort of companies with three years of data available.

Datasource: European Office of Statistics (<http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat>), U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (<https://www.bls.gov/>), specific academic research and public offices of statistics for different countries.

Update: Annual

Supreme Foods... year of incorporation: **2020**

Default survival rate Year 1: **79.60%**

Default survival rate Year 2: **68.80%**

Default survival rate Year 3: **61.30%**

Default survival rate Year 4: **56.85%**

Default survival rate Year 5: **53.16%**

Default survival rate Year 6: **50.14%**

Default survival rate Year 7: **47.59%**

Default survival rate Year 8: **45.38%**

Default survival rate Year 9: **43.43%**

Default survival rate Year 10: **41.69%**

Illiquidity discount

The default illiquidity discount is assigned based on current profitability and projected revenues, according to the approach suggested by William L. Silber.

Supreme Foods... illiquidity discount: **24.33%**

Accessed by
from 34.231.180.48:57666

DCF with LTG

Long term growth

Dataset: Global, publicly listed companies organized by industry (same as in EBITDA multiple)

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Business

Update: Annual

Notes: The value is winsorized over a 0% - 2.5% range. We do not want the long term growth to be above world GDP growth expectations, as it would mean the company is going to overgrow world economy at some point in time

Supreme Foods... industry: **Other Food Processing**

Other Food Processing default long term growth: **2.50**

DCF with Multiples

EBITDA multiple

Dataset: Global, publicly listed companies organized by industry

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Business

Update: Annual

Notes: We favor the use of EBITDA multiple, as we believe revenue multiples fail to capture the ability of startups to generate cash flow, the ultimate determinant of value.

Supreme Foods... industry: **Other Food Processing**

Other Food Processing default EBITDA multiple: **13.72**

Accessed by
from 34.231.180.48:57666

Last Available Balance Sheet

Below the simplified, last available balance sheet of the company.

	01/2020 - 12/2020
Cash and equivalents	-
Tangible assets	-
Intangible assets	-
Financial assets	-
Deferred tax assets	-
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed #ccc;"/>	
Total Assets	-
Debts due within one year time	-
Debt due beyond one year time	-
Equity	-
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed #ccc;"/>	
Total Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity	-

All numbers in \$

Accessed by
 from 34.231.180.48:57666

Accessed by
 from 34.231.180.48:57666